

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,165		08/22/2003	Volker Blank	H 5188 PCT/US	1890	
423	7590	05/12/2005		EXAMINER		
	L CORPOI AD, SUITE		DOUYON, LORNA M			
	NAISSANC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
GULPH N	MILLS, PA	19406	1751			
				DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/647,165	BLANK ET AL	- -				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lorna M. Douyon	1751					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	e address				
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMU	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howe n. a reply within the statutory mini eriod will apply and will expire Statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered iIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.				
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 February 2005.		•				
· · _		This action is non-fina	l.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from considera						
Applicati	on Papers	•						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the		- · · · · · ·	, ,				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	` '							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date	5) F 5) D	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application (0ther:	(PTO-152)				

HU

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on February 25, 2005.

2. Claims 11-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 (which depends from claim1) stands indefinite because the <u>minimum</u> amount of paraffin wax or a mixture of paraffin wax and silicone oil which is <u>15%</u> is outside the scope of the <u>minimum</u> amount of the same components(s) in claim 1 which is 16%.

Claims 12-24, being dependent directly or indirectly upon claim 11, are rejected as well.

- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-24 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Millhoff et al. (US Patent No. 6,340,662), hereinafter "Millhoff" for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.
- 4. Claims 5 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Millhoff as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Hall et al. (US Patent No. 6,093,218), hereinafter "Hall" for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed February 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the obviousness rejection of claims 1-4, 6-24 and 26 based upon Millhoff, Applicants argue that Millhoff's carrier includes no Bronsted acids and there is no suggestion in the disclosure of Millhoff to use a Bronsted acid alone or combined with an alkali metal carbonate as a carrier for a foam regulator system.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments because the Bronsted acid of Applicants include the acidic salts of tricarboxylic acid as required in claim 3, and as defined by Applicants in the specification on page 6, lines 11-13, which salt reads on the alkali metal citrate of Millhoff. In col. 6, lines 41-57, Millhoff teaches that the solid detergent ingredients to which the emulsion is applied includes inorganic salts, powder-form polycarboxylate co-builders such as alkali metal citrate and other inorganic salts such as alkali metal carbonate, and mixtures theroef. With this teaching it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate alkali metal citrate and alkali metal carbonate as the carrier material because Millhoff suggests their mixture. In addition, it is commonplace in chemistry that acids will react with the common alkali metal hydroxides to form salts, therefore the common salts are said to be unpatentable variants and to be suggested to the chemist by the old acid, see *In re Williams*, 89 USPQ 396.

With respect to the obviousness rejection of claims 5 and 25 based upon Millhoff in view Hall, Applicants argue that Millhoff provides no motivation to put a Bronsted acid in a foam regulator carrier material nor does Hall disclose its acid sources as carriers for antifoam systems.

The response above with respect to Millhoff applies here as well. Hall, the secondary reference, teaches the equivalency of citric acid with a salt thereof, i.e. citrate, as disclosed in col. 9, lines 9-18. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/647,165

Art Unit: 1751

invention was made to substitute the alkali metal citrate of Millhoff with citric acid because the substitution of art recognized equivalents is within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,165 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751